

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,384	03/21/2001	Timothy J. Moulsley	GB 000035	3867
24737 7596	24737 7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			JONES, PRENELL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF M	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			TALER NOMBER
			2667 DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/814,384	MOULSLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Prenell P Jones	2667			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u>March 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 10-12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
· ·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Re	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	` '	<u>_</u>				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/814,384

Art Unit: 2667

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally *limited to a single*paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 09/814,384

Art Unit: 2667

1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5, 9, 10 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,548. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3 and 4, all the limitations of claim 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the present application are encompassed in claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,548.

Regarding claim 5, 6, and 7, all the limitations of claims 5, 6, and 7 of the present application are encompassed in claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,548.

Regarding claim 8, all the limitations of claim 8 of present application are encompassed in claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,548.

Regarding claim 9, all the limitations of claim 9 of the present application are encompassed in claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,548.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,384 Page 4

Art Unit: 2667

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art discloses apparatus and methods for contention resolution as associated with a radio communication system wherein communication exist between a primary station and a secondary station, whereby the secondary station request allocation of random access channel via transmission of access preamble at one of a plurality of available time offsets and transmitting an access acknowledgement indicating whether the requested resource is available, they fail to teach or suggest time offset indicating the identity, bit rate and priority of a secondary station.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/814,384

Art Unit: 2667

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

September 26, 2004